

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
NOV 18 2004

PATENT  
P56635

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In re Application of:**

DOO-HWAN CHUN

Serial No.: 10/083,484

Examiner: TRIEU, VAN THANH

Filed: 27 February 2002

Art Unit: 2636

For: **MULTICHANNEL IMAGE PROCESSOR AND SECURITY SYSTEM  
EMPLOYING THE SAME**

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

## Commissioner for Patents

P.O.Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Attention: Examiner Van Thanh TRIEU**

Sir:

I hereby certify that, on 18 November 2004, this correspondence, Request for Clarification and Restart of Period for Response, is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office (Facsimile No. 703-872-9306).

Respectfully submitted,

Robert E. Bushnell  
Attorney for the Applicant  
Registration No. 27,774

1522 "K" Street, N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20005-1202  
Tel: (202) 408-9040  
Fax: (202) 289-7100

Folio: P56635

Date: 11/18/04

I.D.: REB/kf

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
NOV 18 2004

PATENT  
P56635

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:

DOO-HWAN CHUN

Serial No.: 10/083,484

Examiner: TRIEU, VAN THANH

Filed: 27 February 2002

Art Unit: 2636

For: MULTICHANNEL IMAGE PROCESSOR AND SECURITY SYSTEM  
EMPLOYING THE SAME

**REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND**  
**RESTART OF PERIOD FOR RESPONSE**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O.Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile No.: (703) 872-9306

Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests clarification relative to the second Office action mailed on 15 September 2004 (Paper No. 20040907), and further requests that the period for response be restarted as of the date of mailing of the clarifying communication.

Folio: P56635  
Date: 11/18/04  
I.D.: REB/JGS/kf

PATENT  
P56635**REMARKS**

For the reason stated below, Applicant requests clarification relative to the Office action mailed on 15 September 2004 (Paper No. 20040907) in this application.

The Office Action Summary (paragraph 6) indicates that all claims (claims 1 thru 38) are rejected. However, the "DETAILED ACTION" does not state a statutory basis or any detailed reasons for rejection of dependent claim 25. Clarification as to claim 25 is requested.

The clarification now requested was requested in the Supplement to Request for Clarification and Restart of Period for Response filed on 22 July 2004, and in the telephone conference with the Examiner on 26 August 2004.

In conclusion, it is requested that the Examiner provide clarification relative to the matter discussed above, and it is also requested that the period for responding to the Office action (Paper No. 20040907) be restarted as of the date of mailing of the clarifying communication.

PATENT  
P56635

If there are any questions, the Examiner is requested to telephone Applicant's attorney  
at the below listed local telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert E. Bushnell,  
Attorney for the Applicant  
Registration No.: 27,774

1522 "K" Street N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 408-9040

Folio: P56635  
Date: 11/18/04  
I.D.: REB/JGS